#### 07-17-2003

U.S. Patent & TMOfc/TM Mail Rcpt Dt. #22

# BEFORE THE TRADEMARK TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

2

4

5

3 In The Matter of Opposition No. 91156061 Mark:

STEALTHRAY

Serial No.: Filing Date:

78/137180 06/19/02

Pub. Date:

6

7

8

9

12

13

15

17

18

19

20

21

22

12/17/02 TTAB Attv: Christine Jones Pauline Stewart Legal Asst.: CENTRAL MFG. CO.,

Opposer, VS. Go Daddy Software, Inc. Applicant.

APPLICANT'S ANSWER TO CENTRAL MFG. CO.'S NOTICE OF **OPPOSITION** 

Applicant Go Daddy Software, Inc. ("Applicant") hereby responds to Central Mfg. Co.'s ("Opposer") Notice of Opposition ("Opposition") as follows:

- Answering Paragraph 1 of the Opposition, Paragraph 1 is neither a factual or a 1. complete sentence and is impossible to admit or deny. Therefore, Applicant cannot adequately respond to Paragraph 1 of the Opposition. Applicant hereby denies any allegations in the Opposition not expressly admitted herein.
- Answering Paragraph 2 of the Opposition, Applicant denies the allegations therein. 2. Applicant questions whether Opposer uses the "Stealth" mark as alleged and further alleges that any supposed use by third parties of Opposer's mark does not fully inure to Opposers benefit.
- 3. Answering Paragraph 3 of the Opposition, Applicant denies the allegations therein. Applicant incorporates its allegations in Paragraph 2 above.

2575 EAST CAMELBACK ROAD PHOENIX, ARIZONA 850 16-9225 (602) 530-8000

GALLAGHER & KENNEDY, P.

- 4. Answering Paragraph 4 of the Opposition, Applicant denies the allegations therein.

  Applicant incorporates its allegations in Paragraph 2 above.
- 5. Answering Paragraph 5 of the Opposition, Applicant denies the allegations therein.

  Applicant incorporates its allegations in Paragraph 2 above.
- 6. Answering Paragraph 6 of the Opposition, Applicant denies the allegations therein.

  Applicant incorporates its allegations in Paragraph 2 above.
- 7. Answering Paragraph 7 of the Opposition, Applicant denies the allegations therein.

  Applicant incorporates its allegations in Paragraph 2 above.
  - 8. Answering Paragraph 8 of the Opposition, Applicant denies the allegations therein.
- 9. Answering Paragraph 9 of the Opposition, Applicant is without sufficient information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained therein and therefore denies the same.
- 10. Answering Paragraph 10 of the Opposition, Applicant is without sufficient information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained therein and therefore denies the same.
- 11. Answering Paragraph 11 of the Opposition, Applicant denies the allegations therein.
- 12. Answering Paragraph 12 of the Opposition, Applicant denies the allegations therein.
- 13. Answering Paragraph 13 of the Opposition, Applicant denies the allegations therein.
- 14. Answering Paragraph 14 of the Opposition, Applicant denies the allegations therein.

- 15. Answering Paragraph 15 of the Opposition, Applicant denies the allegations therein.
- 16. Answering Paragraph 16 of the Opposition, Applicant denies the allegations therein. Applicant incorporates its allegations in Paragraph 2 above.
- 17. Answering Paragraph 17 of the Opposition, Applicant denies the allegations therein. Applicant incorporates its allegations in Paragraph 2 above.
- 18. Answering Paragraph 18 of the Opposition, Applicant denies the allegations therein. Applicant incorporates its allegations in Paragraph 2 above.
- 19. Answering Paragraph 19 of the Opposition, Applicant denies the allegations therein. Applicant incorporates its allegations in Paragraph 2 above.
- 20. Answering Paragraph 20 of the Opposition, Applicant denies the allegations therein. Applicant incorporates its allegations in Paragraph 2 above. Applicant asserts the Opposer's Paragraph 20 and other assertions in the Opposer's Opposition are redundant or duplicative.
- 21. Answering Paragraph 21 of the Opposition, Applicant denies the allegations therein. Applicant incorporates its allegations from Paragraphs 2 and 20 above.
- 22. Answering Paragraph 22 of the Opposition, Applicant denies the allegations therein. Applicant incorporates its allegations from Paragraphs 2 and 20 above.
- 23. Answering Paragraph 23 of the Opposition, Applicant denies the allegations therein. Applicant incorporates its allegations from Paragraphs 2 and 20 above.
- 24. Answering Paragraph 24 of the Opposition, Applicant denies the allegations therein. Applicant incorporates its allegations from Paragraphs 2 and 20 above.

- 25. Answering Paragraph 25 of the Opposition, Applicant denies the allegations therein. Applicant incorporates its allegations from Paragraphs 2 and 20 above.
- 26. Answering Paragraph 26 of the Opposition, Applicant denies the allegations therein.
- 27. Answering Paragraph 27 of the Opposition, Applicant denies the allegations therein.
- 28. Answering Paragraph 28 of the Opposition, Applicant denies the allegations therein. Applicant incorporates its allegations from Paragraphs 2 and 20 above.
- 29. Answering Paragraph 29 of the Opposition, Applicant denies the allegations therein. Applicant incorporates its allegations from Paragraphs 2 and 20 above.
- 30. Answering Paragraph 30 of the Opposition, Applicant denies the allegations therein. Applicant incorporates its allegations from Paragraphs 2 and 20 above.
- 31. Answering Paragraph 31 of the Opposition, Applicant denies the allegations therein.
- 32. Answering Paragraph 32 of the Opposition, Applicant denies the allegations therein.
- 33. Answering Paragraph 33 of the Opposition, Applicant is without sufficient information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained therein and therefore denies the same. Applicant incorporates its allegations in Paragraph 2 above.
- 34. Answering Paragraph 34 of the Opposition, Applicant denies the allegations therein.
- 35. Answering Paragraph 35 of the Opposition, Applicant denies the allegations therein. Applicant further affirmatively alleges that Opposer's allegations in Paragraph 35 of its

Opposition are frivolous and baseless. Applicant further alleges that Opposer has no good faith basis to assert any purported "fraudulent" basis for Applicants pursuit of federal trademark registration of the mark "STEALTHRAY."

- 36. Answering Paragraph 36 of the Opposition, Applicant denies the allegations therein. Applicant further affirmatively alleges that Opposer's allegations in Paragraph 36 of its Opposition are frivolous and baseless. Applicant further alleges that Opposer has no good faith basis to assert any purported "fraudulent" basis for Applicants pursuit of federal trademark registration of the mark "STEALTHRAY."
- 37. Answering Paragraph 37 of the Opposition, Applicant denies the allegations therein.
- 38. Answering Paragraph 38 of the Opposition, Applicant denies the allegations therein.
- 39. Answering Paragraph 39 of the Opposition, Applicant denies the allegations therein.
- 40. Answering Paragraph 40 of the Opposition, Applicant denies the allegations therein. Applicant incorporates its allegations in Paragraphs 35 and 36 above.
- 41. Answering Paragraph 41 of the Opposition, Applicant denies the allegations therein. Applicant incorporates its allegations in Paragraphs 35 and 36 above. Applicant affirmatively alleges that Opposer has no good faith basis to assert that Applicant submitted any "false" statements to the Patent and Trademark Office in connection with its Application for federal registration of the mark "STEALTHRAY."

	42.	Answering Paragraph 42 of the Opposition, Applicant is without sufficient
informa	atio	n to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained therein and therefore denies
the sam	ie.	Applicant incorporates its allegations in Paragraph 2 above.

- 43. Answering Paragraph 43 of the Opposition, Applicant denies the allegations therein. Applicant incorporates its allegations in Paragraphs 35, 36 and 41 above. Applicant also affirmatively alleges that Opposer restates allegations in a redundant fashion.
- 44. Answering Paragraph 44 of the Opposition, Applicant denies the allegations therein. Applicant incorporates its allegations in Paragraphs 35, 36, 41 and 43 above.
- 45. Answering Paragraph 45 of the Opposition, Applicant denies the allegations therein. Applicant incorporates its allegations in Paragraphs 35, 36, 41 and 43 above.
- 46. Answering Paragraph 46 of the Opposition, Applicant denies the allegations therein. Applicant incorporates its allegations in Paragraph 2 above.
- 47. Answering Paragraph 47 of the Opposition, Applicant denies the allegations therein. Applicant incorporates its allegations in Paragraph 2 above.
- 48. Answering Paragraph 48 of the Opposition, Applicant denies the allegations therein. Applicant incorporates its allegations in Paragraphs 35, 36, 41 and 43 above.
- 49. Answering Paragraph 49 of the Opposition, Applicant denies the allegations therein. Applicant incorporates its allegations in Paragraph 2 above.
- 50. Answering Paragraph 50 of the Opposition, Applicant denies the allegations therein. Applicant incorporates its allegations in Paragraph 2 above.
- 51. Answering Paragraph 51 of the Opposition, Applicant denies the allegations therein. Applicant incorporates its allegations in Paragraphs 35, 36, 41 and 43 above.

52. Answering Paragraph 52 of the Opposition, Applicant denies the allegations therein. Applicant incorporates its allegations in Paragraphs 35, 36, 41 and 43 above.

- 53. Answering Paragraph 53 of the Opposition, Applicant denies the allegations therein.
- 54. Answering Paragraph 54 of the Opposition, Applicant denies the allegations therein.

# AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES

Applicant asserts the following affirmative defenses:

Failure to state a claim upon which relief can be granted, absence of likelihood of confusion, existence of differences in the parties' marks, goods, channels of trade and purchasers, existence of similar marks in use by third parties, unclean hands, improper behavior by Opposer pertaining to its mark, fraud, abuse of process, trademark misuse, fraud on the Trademark Trial and Appeal Board, discontinued use by Opposer in a fashion to suggest abandonment, uncontrolled licensing, misrepresentation and any available defenses pursuant to *Rule 12, Federal Rules of Civil Procedure*.

Additional defenses may become available as Applicant continues to discover facts about Opposer and as discovery proceeds in this case. Therefore, Applicant reserves the right to raise all affirmative defenses in law or equity.

WHEREFORE, Applicant requests that the registration of its mark "STEALTHRAY" proceed and that Opposer's Opposition be denied. Applicant further requests that it be granted its reasonable attorneys' fees incurred in this matter given the nature of the conduct of Opposer, and given Opposer's baseless and frivolous action herein. Applicant further requests all available sanctions and attorneys' fees against Opposer, including *Rule 11* sanctions and any sanctions

available under this Board's Rules, federal law or the Lahnam Act for Opposer's frivolous, baseless and fraudulent actions.

DATED this Loday of July 2003,

Respectfully submitted,

GO DADDY SOFTWARE, INC.

Brian W. LaCorte
Counsel For Applicant
GALLAGHER & KENNEDY, P.A.
2575 East Camelback Road, Suite 1100
Phoenix, Arizona 85016
(602) 530-8160



### 07-17-2003

U.S. Patent & TMOfc/TM Mail Rcpt Dt. #2:

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

## CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that the Original Applicant's Answer to Central Mfg. Co.'s Notice of Opposition is being deposited on July 16, 2003 with Federal Express in an envelope addressed to the United States Patent and Trademark Office, Trademark Trial and Appeal Board and one copy mailed via U.S. Mail to Leo Stoller:

United States Patent and Trademark Office Trademark Trial and Appeal Board 2900 Crystal Drive Arlington, Virginia 22202-3513

Leo Stoller CENTRAL MFG., Opposer Trademark & Licensing Dept. P.O. Box 35189 Chicago, Illinois 60707-0189



GALLAGHER & KENNEDY, P.A. 2575 EAST CAMELBACK ROAD PHOENIX, ARIZONA 85016-9225 (602) 530-8000